

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 4441
OFFERED BY MR. ROKITA OF INDIANA

Page 57, line 18, strike “Neither” and insert the following:

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Neither”.

Page 57, after line 20, insert the following:

2 “(2) PROCESS FOR AVIATION ACCESS REDUC-
3 TION.—

4 “(A) COMPLAINT.—If the Board reduces
5 aviation access, an individual, entity, or rep-
6 resentative of an aviation segment may file a
7 complaint with an administrative law judge.

8 “(B) STAY OF PROPOSAL OR REDUC-
9 TION.—Once a complaint is filed, the reduction
10 of aviation access is stayed until the judge
11 makes a ruling.

12 “(C) BURDEN OF PROOF ON BOARD.—The
13 Board shall have the burden of proof to show
14 that its reduction of aviation access does not
15 adversely affect any aviation segment.

16 “(D) DECISION.—If the judge rules in
17 favor of the complainant, the decision to reduce

1 access is voided and the entity shall be awarded
2 reasonable attorneys fees, damages, and com-
3 pensation.”.

